

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/849,626	05/03/2001	Chaitanya S. Bangur	210121.478C16	9720
500	7590 10/09/2003		EXAMINER	
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN	
701 FIFTH A SUITE 6300	VE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			1634	
			DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/849,626	BANGUR ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey Fredman	1634				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r sh t with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 11 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application of the supplication of the su	cation. A proper reply to a chiple ch				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in a	the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		ease, every mou, may reduce any				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. $igotimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14 and 19-24</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-13 and 1	<u>5-18</u> .					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.				
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
0. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet						
		MM				
		Jeffrey Fredman				
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1634				

Advisory Action

Continuation Sh t (PTOL-303) 009/849,626

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment of the claim to "highly" stringent conditions will require further search and consideration because the term is not defined clearly in the specification. At page 87, lines 3-16, there is a discussion of hybridization stringency, but no specific definition for "high" as versus "moderate" stringency is provided. For example, both "high" and "moderate" stringency can be performed at 60 C with the same conditions. Therefore, it is unclear how this amendment would alter the description rejection and the rejection is therefore maintained..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are drawn to the claims as amended and since the amendment was not entered for the reasons above, the arguments will not be considered.

Continuation of 10. Other: The IDS filed 9/11/03 will not be considered because it does not contain either the required fee or the required certification for consideration after final, and both of these elements are required for after final consideration of an IDS...